



Assurance Continuity Maintenance Report

SAITO Yutaka, Commissioner
Information Technology Promotion Agency, Japan
2-28-8 Honkomagome, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo

Changed TOE

Reception Date of Application (Reception Number)	2025-07-01 (ITM-5141)
Certification Identification	JISEC-C0834
Product Name	KONICA MINOLTA bizhub C651i with FK-514, DEVELOP ineo+ 651i with FK-514, Sindoh D742/CM6107/CM6109 with FK-514
Version and Release Numbers	G00-RB
Product Manufacturer	KONICA MINOLTA, INC.
Conformance of Functionality	PP Conformant functionality, CC Part 2 Extended
Protection Profile Conformance	Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices 1.0 dated September 10, 2015 (Certification Identification: JISEC-C0553)
Name of IT Security Evaluation Facility	ECSEC Laboratory Inc., Evaluation Center

This is to report that the result of Assurance Continuity Maintenance for the above changed TOE as follows.

2025-07-30

YANO Tatsuro, Technical Manager
IT Security Technology Evaluation Department
IT Security Center

Evaluation Criteria, etc.: The changed TOE is verified for Assurance Continuity Maintenance in accordance with the following standards prescribed in the "IT Security Evaluation and Certification Scheme Document."

- Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation
Version 3.1 Release 5
- Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation
Version 3.1 Release 5

Certification Result: Pass

"KONICA MINOLTA bizhub C651i with FK-514, DEVELOP ineo+ 651i with FK-514, Sindoh D742/CM6107/CM6109 with FK-514, Version G00-RB" (the changed TOE) has been verified based on the standards required, in accordance with the provisions of the "Requirements for IT Security Certification" by Information-technology Promotion Agency, Japan, and it is confirmed that the assurance is maintained as the maintained TOE.

Notice:

This document is the English translation version of the Assurance Continuity Maintenance Report published by the Certification Body of Japan Information Technology Security Evaluation and Certification Scheme.

Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary.....	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Identification of Assurance Continuity	2
1.2.1 Identification of the Changed TOE	2
1.2.2 Identification of the Certified TOE	2
1.2.3 ST Identification of the Certified TOE.....	2
1.2.4 Identification of the Certification Report of the Certified TOE	2
1.3 Certification of Assurance Continuity	3
1.4 Overview of Report.....	4
1.4.1 Description of Change	4
1.4.2 Modified Developer Evidence.....	4
1.4.3 Documents Attached to the Changed TOE	5
2. Conduct and Result of Assurance Continuity Maintenance	6
2.1 Overview of Assurance Continuity Maintenance Conducted.....	6
2.2 Conduct of Subset Evaluation	6
2.3 Conduct of Certification.....	6
3. Conclusion	7
3.1 Certification Result	7
3.2 Recommendations	7
4. Glossary	8
5. Bibliography	9

1. Executive Summary

1.1 Introduction

This Assurance Continuity Maintenance Report describes the certification result in relation to Assurance Continuity for the changed TOE:

"KONICA MINOLTA bizhub C651i with FK-514, DEVELOP ineo+ 651i with FK-514, Sindoh D742/CM6107/CM6109 with FK-514, Version G00-RB" (hereinafter referred to as the "changed TOE"),

that is added applicable models to the certified TOE:

"KONICA MINOLTA bizhub C651i with FK-514, DEVELOP ineo+ 651i with FK-514, Version G00-RB" (hereinafter referred to as the "certified TOE").

It is intended to report to the sponsor, KONICA MINOLTA, INC., and provide the changed information to procurement entities and consumers who are interested in the changed TOE.

Readers of this Assurance Continuity Maintenance Report are advised to read the Certification Report [3] and ST [4] of the certified TOE described below as well as the ST [9] of the changed TOE along with this report. The security-relevant information except for the changed information is described in the Certification Report and the ST.

Note that this Assurance Continuity Maintenance Report presents the certification result in relation to Assurance Continuity which will give the changed TOE the same level of assurance given to the certified TOE, and does not certify an individual IT product itself.

1.2 Identification of Assurance Continuity

1.2.1 Identification of the Changed TOE

The changed TOE that is subject to this maintenance is as follows:

Product Name:	KONICA MINOLTA bizhub C651i with FK-514, DEVELOP ineo+ 651i with FK-514, Sindoh D742/CM6107/CM6109 with FK-514
Version and Release Numbers:	G00-RB
Product Manufacturer:	KONICA MINOLTA, INC.

1.2.2 Identification of the Certified TOE

The certified TOE for this maintenance is as follows:

Product Name:	KONICA MINOLTA bizhub C651i with FK-514, DEVELOP ineo+ 651i with FK-514
Version and Release Numbers:	G00-RB
Product Manufacturer:	KONICA MINOLTA, INC.

1.2.3 ST Identification of the Certified TOE

The ST of the certified TOE for this maintenance is as follows:

Name:	KONICA MINOLTA bizhub C651i with FK-514, DEVELOP ineo+ 651i with FK-514 Security Target
Version:	2.00
Date:	2024-10-31
Author:	KONICA MINOLTA, INC.

1.2.4 Identification of the Certification Report of the Certified TOE

The Certification Report of the certified TOE for this maintenance is as follows:

Certification Report Identification:	JISEC-CC-CRP-C0834-01-2024
Date:	2024-11-19
Author:	IT Security Technology Evaluation Department, IT Security Center, Information-technology Promotion Agency, Japan

1.3 Certification of Assurance Continuity

Under the IT Security Evaluation and Certification Scheme that the Certification Body operates, the Certification Body verified the Impact Analysis Report [6] (hereinafter referred to as the "IAR") prepared by the developer, according to the publicized documents, "IT Security Evaluation and Certification Scheme Document" [1] and "Requirements for IT Security Certification" [2]. For changes to the development environment including acceptance procedures, ECSEC Laboratory Inc., Evaluation Center (hereinafter referred to as the "Evaluation Facility") conducted IT security evaluation of the impacted assurance requirements, according to the publicized document, "Requirements for Approval of IT Security Evaluation Facility" [7]. After the Certification Body verified the IAR and the partial ETR [8] created by the Evaluation Facility in accordance with "Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements" [5], the Certification Body confirmed that the changes to the certified TOE are minor and that the assurance could be maintained in the changed TOE. The Certification Body prepared this Assurance Continuity Maintenance Report based on the IAR and the partial ETR, and concluded the certification activities.

1.4 Overview of Report

1.4.1 Description of Change

1) Changes to the certified TOE

Following three models were added to the TOE.

Sindoh D742 with FK-514 Version G00-RB
 Sindoh CM6107 with FK-514 Version G00-RB
 Sindoh CM6109 with FK-514 Version G00-RB

The differences between the models of the certified TOE and the additional models are as follows:

TOE Model	Additional model	Differences other than the name
bizhub C651i	D742	none
	CM6107	Two-Tier Cabinet installed
	CM6109	Two-Tier Cabinet and Finisher installed

The additional models have the same hardware and software that realize the security functions as the models included in the certified TOE. Therefore, those changes have no impact on the security functionality of the TOE.

2) Changes to the acceptance procedures for the certified TOE

Descriptions have been added to the guidance for the certified TOEs to clarify the procedures for identifying the guidance. In addition, the file format of the guidance for the additional models has been changed.

1.4.2 Modified Developer Evidence

Adding models to the TOE required modifications for some parts of the developer evidence that had been previously provided for the certified TOE. The modified developer evidence has been accurately identified, and the revised version has been created.

The ST of the changed TOE is as follows:

Name:	KONICA MINOLTA bizhub C651i with FK-514, DEVELOP ineo+ 651i with FK-514, Sindoh D742/CM6107/CM6109 with FK-514 Security Target
Version:	3.01
Date:	2025-07-14
Author:	KONICA MINOLTA, INC.

1.4.3 Documents Attached to the Changed TOE

The documents attached to the changed TOE are listed below:

Table 1-1 Guidance for bizhub (Japanese)

Name ¹	Version
bizhub C651i/C551i/C451i User's Guide	1.00
bizhub C751i/C651i/C551i/C451i/C361i/C301i/C251i User's Guide Security Operations	1.01 2025.5.26

Table 1-2 Guidance for bizhub (English)

Name	Version
bizhub C651i/C551i/C451i User's Guide	1.00
bizhub C751i/C651i/C551i/C451i/C361i/C301i/C251i C336DNi/C330DNi/C325DNi AccurioPrint C751i User's Guide Security Operations	1.01 2025.5.26

Table 1-3 Guidance for ineo (English)

Name	Version
ineo+ 651i/551i/451i User's Guide	1.00
ineo+ 751i/651i/551i/451i/361i/301i/251i User's Guide Security Operations	1.01 2025.5.26

Table 1-4 Guidance for Sindoh (English)

Name	Version
Sindoh D742/D741/D740/CM6107/CM5107/CM4097/CM6109/ CM5109/CM4099 USER MANUAL	1.00
Sindoh D742/D741/D740/D472/D471/D470/CM6107/CM5107/ CM4097/CM3095/CM3037/CM2077/CM6109/CM5109/CM4099 User's Guide Security Operations	1.00 2025.5.26

¹ The guidance name listed in Table 1-1 is the translation of Japanese name.

2. Conduct and Result of Assurance Continuity Maintenance

2.1 Overview of Assurance Continuity Maintenance Conducted

The application for Assurance Continuity Maintenance was accepted on 2025-07-01 and concluded upon completion of this Assurance Continuity Maintenance Report.

2.2 Conduct of Subset Evaluation

Based on the IAR created by the developer, the Evaluation Facility conducted evaluations of the impacted assurance requirements by verifying changes to the development environment including acceptance procedures.

The Evaluation Facility was provided a set of evaluation deliverables required for evaluation by the developer, and conducted evaluation for the evaluation deliverables.

The Evaluation Facility had determined that the changed TOE satisfies all work units that correspond to the impacted assurance requirements. As a result of the evaluation, the verdict "PASS" was confirmed for the following assurance component.

- AGD_PRE.1

2.3 Conduct of Certification

The following verification was conducted based on the IAR submitted by the developer as well as the partial ETR submitted by the Evaluation Facility in order to confirm that there is no impact by the changes to the TOE.

- 1) The developer evidence modified in accordance with the changes to the TOE shall be appropriate.
- 2) The process and result of the impact analysis for the changes to the TOE shall be adequate.
- 3) There is no change in the TOE itself or the operational environment of the TOE, and testing of the TOE is not required.
- 4) Rationale of the evaluation verdict by the evaluator presented in the partial ETR shall be adequate.
- 5) The evaluator's evaluation methodology presented in the partial ETR shall conform to the CEM.

3. Conclusion

3.1 Certification Result

As the result of verifying the submitted IAR and the partial ETR, the Certification Body determined that the impact of the changes made to the certified TOE is minor, and that the assurance toward the assurance requirements of the certified TOE is also maintained in the changed TOE.

3.2 Recommendations

None

4. Glossary

The abbreviations used in this Assurance Continuity Maintenance Report are listed below.

CC	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation
CEM	Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation
IAR	Impact Analysis Report
ST	Security Target
TOE	Target of Evaluation

The terms used in this Assurance Continuity Maintenance Report are listed below.

Certified TOE	A version of the TOE for which evaluation has been completed and the certificate has been issued.
Changed TOE	A different version of the certified TOE in which modifications has been added to.
Impact Analysis Report	A report which records the analysis of the impact of changes to the certified TOE.
Maintained TOE	A changed TOE that has undergone the maintenance process, to which the application of the previous certification for the certified TOE is approved. The same assurance provided to the certified TOE is also applied to the maintained TOE.
Subset Evaluation	An Evaluation Facility re-evaluates only the assurance requirements that are impacted by the changes to the development environment.

5. Bibliography

- [1] IT Security Evaluation and Certification Scheme Document, December 2023, Information-technology Promotion Agency, Japan, CCS-01
- [2] Requirements for IT Security Certification, December 2023, Information-technology Promotion Agency, Japan, CCM-02
- [3] KONICA MINOLTA bizhub C651i with FK-514, DEVELOP ineo+ 651i with FK-514, Version G00-RB Certification Report, November 19, 2024, Information-technology Promotion Agency, Japan, JISEC-CC-CRP-C0834-01-2024
- [4] KONICA MINOLTA bizhub C651i with FK-514, DEVELOP ineo+ 651i with FK-514 Security Target, Version 2.00, October 31, 2024, KONICA MINOLTA, INC.
- [5] Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements, Version 3.0, March 2023
- [6] KONICA MINOLTA bizhub C651i with FK-514, DEVELOP ineo+ 651i with FK-514, Sindoh D742/CM6107/CM6109 with FK-514 G00-RB Impact Analysis Report, Version 1.05, July 23, 2025, KONICA MINOLTA, INC.
- [7] Requirements for Approval of IT Security Evaluation Facility, October 2021, Information-technology Promotion Agency, Japan, CCM-03
- [8] KONICA MINOLTA bizhub C651i with FK-514, DEVELOP ineo+ 651i with FK-514, Sindoh D742/CM6107/CM6109 with FK-514 G00-RB Partial Evaluation Technical Report, Version 1.0, July 16, 2025, ECSEC Laboratory Inc., Evaluation Center
- [9] KONICA MINOLTA bizhub C651i with FK-514, DEVELOP ineo+ 651i with FK-514, Sindoh D742/CM6107/CM6109 with FK-514 Security Target, Version 3.01, July 14, 2025, KONICA MINOLTA, INC.