



JISEC

Requirements for Approval of IT Security Evaluation Facility

October 2020

IPA

CCM-03

Information-technology Promotion Agency, Japan (IPA)

Table of Contents

1. Purpose.....	1
2. Terms.....	1
3. Evaluation and Certification Standards.....	2
4. Requirements for Evaluation Facility Candidates and Evaluation Facilities	2
4.1 Preliminary Consultation on Becoming an Evaluation Facility	3
4.2 Requirements for Applications for Approval of Evaluation Facility	3
4.3 Requirements during the Application Process for Approval of Evaluation Facility	5
5. Requirements for Evaluator Candidates and Evaluators.....	6
5.1 Requirements for Applications for Approval of Evaluator Qualification	6
5.2 Requirements for Evaluator Candidates	7
5.3 Requirements during the Application Process for an Evaluator Qualification.....	8
6. Requirements for Evaluation Work after a Trial Evaluation and Approval of Evaluation Facility...8	
6.1 Assumptions of Trial Evaluation.....	9
6.2 Evaluation Work of Trial Evaluation or after Approval of Evaluation Facility	10
6.3 Assurance of the Impartiality and Independence of Evaluations	12
6.4 Criteria on Evaluator Candidates for an Evaluator Qualification	13
7. Requirements to Maintain the Approval of Evaluation Facility	14
7.1 Requirements for Evaluation Facilities.....	14
7.2 Changes after Approval of Evaluation Facility	16
7.3 Succession of an Evaluation Facility	17
7.4 Request for Reissuing a Certificate of Approval of Evaluation Facility	17
7.5 Notification of Discontinuance of an Evaluation Facility.....	18
7.6 Suspension or Revocation of Approval of Evaluation Facility	18
7.7 Publication on the List of Evaluation Facilities	19
8. Requirements to Maintain an Evaluator Qualification.....	20
8.1 Requirements for Evaluators.....	20
8.2 Notification of Changes to Evaluator Registration Records	20
8.3 Request for Reissuing a Certificate of Evaluator Qualification	21
8.4 Application to Maintain an Evaluator Qualification	21
8.5 Notification of Termination of Evaluation Services	21
8.6 Notification of Reinstatement of an Evaluator.....	22
8.7 Revocation of an Evaluator Qualification.....	22

Requirements for Approval of IT Security Evaluation Facility

Establishment: April 12, 2012 (Jo-So No. 165 of 2011)

Final revision: September 29, 2020 (Jo-So No. 1096 of 2020)

1. Purpose

The purpose of the “Requirements for Approval of IT Security Evaluation Facility (CCM-03)” (hereinafter referred to as the “Requirements for Evaluation Facility”) is to prescribe the necessary matters for Evaluation Facilities, which are independent of both certification applicants and the Certification Body, to obtain approval from the Certification Body as well as the necessary matters for Evaluation Facilities that have obtained approval to maintain the approval under the Japan IT Security Evaluation and Certification Scheme (hereinafter referred to as “this Scheme”) operated by the Information-technology Promotion Agency, Japan (hereinafter referred to as “IPA”) as the Certification Body.

The procedures for the approval of Evaluation Facility and the maintenance of the approval are prescribed in these detailed rules and manuals as well as in the “IT Security Evaluation and Certification Scheme Document (CCS-01)” (hereinafter referred to as the “Scheme Document (CCS-01)”) and other documentation.

Note that details of procedures related to applications for approval of Evaluation Facility are prescribed in the “Guidance on Approval of IT Security Evaluation Facility (CCM-03-A)” (hereinafter referred to as the “Guidance on Approval of Evaluation Facility (CCM-03-A)”).

2. Terms

Terms used in the Requirements for Evaluation Facility, in addition to the definitions prescribed below, correspond to those used in the “Scheme Document (CCS-01),” and the “Requirements for IT Security Certification (CCM-02)” (hereinafter referred to as the “Requirements for Certification (CCM-02)”), as well as the “IT Security Evaluation Criteria,” the “IT Security Evaluation Methodology,” and their interpretations (hereinafter referred to as “CC/CEM”), which are standards prescribed in Annex A of the “Scheme Document (CCS-01).”

Management Committee:

A panel of experts established within IPA that deliberates on matters concerning the policies of this Scheme’s operation and on matters concerning the sustainment of the Certification Body’s management system.

Approval:

The Certification Body’s authorization that an Evaluation Facility conducts, on an ongoing basis, evaluations within the scope of a given assurance component.

ISO/IEC 17025:

The standard that specifies the general requirements for the competence to carry out specific tests or calibrations by testing and calibration laboratories seeking accreditation. In this Scheme, this standard is used as the requirements for the competence of an Evaluation Facility to conduct evaluations in the product area for which it is accredited and approved.

Accreditation Body:

An organization that accredits an Evaluation Facility that fulfills the requirements of an IT Security Evaluation Facility under this Scheme based on ISO/IEC 17025. In principle, the National Institute of Technology and Evaluation (NITE) is the Accreditation Body in this Scheme. For accreditation bodies officially approved by other CCRA Certificate Authorizing Participants, the Management Committee verifies that the Accreditation Body is able to check the status of accreditation, via the accredited Evaluation Facility, to the same extent as the domestic Accreditation Body in Japan, to determine acceptance of the accreditation on a case-by-case basis.

Termination of Evaluation Services:

A situation in which a qualified evaluator no longer conducts evaluation services.

The Certification Body considers that an evaluator has terminated evaluation services when the evaluator declines his/her evaluator qualification or fall under the following cases:

- (1) When the evaluator is transferred or resigns from the Evaluation Facility to which the evaluator belonged
- (2) When the evaluator still belongs to the Evaluation Facility but is no longer engaged in evaluation services
- (3) When the evaluator continues to be engaged in evaluation services but is no longer a full-time employee

Reinstatement of Evaluation Services:

A situation in which a former qualified evaluator is reinstated as a qualified evaluator within one year after the evaluator previously terminated evaluation services by submitting a “Notification of Termination of Evaluation Services as an Evaluator” to the Certification Body.

3. Evaluation and Certification Standards

The evaluations and certifications conducted under this Scheme are based on CC/CEM.

4. Requirements for Evaluation Facility Candidates and Evaluation Facilities

The Certification Body applies the following items described in this Chapter as requirements for applications for approval of Evaluation Facility with regard to either an Evaluation Facility candidate that applies for approval of Evaluation Facility or an Evaluation Facility that applies for extending its assurance components. In other words, the Certification Body does not approve as an

Evaluation Facility in the case that the Evaluation Facility candidate or Evaluation Facility fails to fulfill these requirements.

4.1 Preliminary Consultation on Becoming an Evaluation Facility

(1) Preliminary consultations with the Certification Body

A candidate that plans to apply for approval of Evaluation Facility shall make a preliminary consultation as described below with the Certification Body before initiating any application procedures.

(A) The Management Committee of this Scheme shall make a preliminary determination whether it is appropriate for the candidate to participate in this Scheme as an Evaluation Facility.

(B) The Certification Body shall determine whether the TOE to be used in the trial evaluation is appropriate as the evaluation assurance level to confirm the competence of the evaluator candidates.

(2) Accreditation from the Accreditation Body

The Evaluation Facility candidate shall be accredited by the Accreditation Body prior to applying for approval of Evaluation Facility (in the case that the candidate has not been accredited yet, it shall apply for accreditation). An Evaluation Facility shall be re-accredited by the Accreditation Body even in the case of extending its assurance components.

The Evaluation Facility candidate is required to submit a copy of the “Certificate of Accreditation” issued by the Accreditation Body (or else a copy of the “Application for Accreditation”) to the Certification Body.

4.2 Requirements for Applications for Approval of Evaluation Facility

(1) The Evaluation Facility candidate shall adequately confirm all items of the Requirements for Evaluation Facility.

(2) The Evaluation Facility candidate shall submit an “Application for Approval of Evaluation Facility” and other necessary documents (the documents prescribed in the “Guidance on Approval of Evaluation Facility (CCM-03-A)”) to the Certification Body.

When submitting an application for approval of Evaluation Facility, the Evaluation Facility candidate shall submit an application for approval of evaluator qualification for one or more evaluators at the same time. (Refer to Chapter 5.)

(3) Conclusion of a Nondisclosure Agreement

The Evaluation Facility candidate shall conclude a “Nondisclosure Agreement” with the Certification Body with regard to the treatment of confidential information.

(4) Compliance with the Scheme Document and Requirements

The Evaluation Facility candidate shall comply with the “Scheme Document (CCS-01),” the “Requirements for Certification (CCM-02),” and the Requirements for Evaluation Facility.

(5) Working language

In principle, the working language of this Scheme is Japanese.

The language used in the Evaluation Facility candidate's submitted documents, application procedures, evaluation work, and other documentation shall be either Japanese or English. In the case that the original documents proving corporate status and other documents are not in Japanese or English, the documents shall be translated into Japanese or English and submitted along with the original documents. If neither the Japanese nor an English translation is submitted, the Certification Body will not accept the application for approval from the Evaluation Facility candidate.

- (6) Preparation and maintenance of the Quality Manual, education and training manuals, and education and training programs

The Evaluation Facility candidate shall prepare and maintain the Quality Manual, education and training manuals, and education and training programs that are necessary for evaluation services as follows:

- (A) The Quality Manual shall fulfill the requirements specified in ISO/IEC 17025.
- (B) The Evaluation Facility candidate shall obtain confirmation from the Accreditation Body that education and training programs are developed based on the Evaluation Facility's Quality Manual.
- (C) The education and training programs (including the education and training curriculum, textbooks, and other actual teaching materials used) shall be appropriate to the technology and assurance components in the product area in which the Evaluation Facility candidate conducts evaluations.
- (D) The contents of the education and training programs shall be confirmed by the Certification Body.

The Evaluation Facility candidate shall cooperate with the Certification Body when the Certification Body confirms the contents of the education and training programs.

- (E) The Evaluation Facility candidate shall regularly and systematically provide appropriate education and training on the latest technology and evaluation methodologies in the product area for which an evaluator candidate has been approved.
- (F) The Evaluation Facility candidate shall promptly submit the latest version of the Quality Manual or the education and training programs to the Certification Body when they are revised.

- (7) Qualified evaluator

For the Evaluation Facility candidate to be approved as an Evaluation Facility, one or more evaluator candidates shall, by means of a trial evaluation, conduct a TOE evaluation and obtain an evaluator qualification.

- (8) Application fees

The Evaluation Facility candidate shall pay the application fees prescribed by the Certification Body.

Note that the application fees, once paid, will not be refunded even if the application is

withdrawn.

4.3 Requirements during the Application Process for Approval of Evaluation Facility

(1) Acceptance of on-site assessment

An Evaluation Facility candidate performs evaluation work under the oversight of the Certification Body. In regard to the product in progress for trial evaluation, the Evaluation Facility candidate shall accept on-site assessment when requested by the Certification Body, and shall make necessary accommodations based on the Certification Body's instructions, such as disclosure of evaluation work records.

(2) On-site assessment expenses

In the case that the Certification Body requests an on-site assessment (pursuant to Section 4.3 (1) and Section 4.3 (5)), the Evaluation Facility candidate shall be responsible for the actual travel and accommodation expenses incurred in the on-site assessment.

(3) Request for changing record or withdrawal of application forms for approval of Evaluation Facility

In the case of correcting or changing records, or withdrawing a submitted form of "Application for Approval of Evaluation Facility," the Evaluation Facility candidate shall comply with the following:

(A) The Evaluation Facility candidate shall submit a "Request for Changing Record / Withdrawal of Application Form for Approval of Evaluation Facility" to the Certification Body.

(B) In the case of withdrawing an Application for Approval of Evaluation Facility, the Evaluation Facility candidate shall inform an applicant for certification of its intentions.

(C) In the case that an applicant for certification has carried out procedures to withdraw the certification application for the trial evaluation, the Evaluation Facility candidate shall submit a "Request for Changing Record / Withdrawal of Application Form for Approval of Evaluation Facility" within 30 days, calculated from the date the certification application was withdrawn. If the above request is not submitted within 30 days, it will be assumed that the application for approval of Evaluation Facility has been withdrawn.

(4) Corrections to attached documents other than the application form for approval of Evaluation Facility

In the case of correcting or changing submitted attached documents other than the "Application for Approval of Evaluation Facility," the Evaluation Facility candidate shall attach replacements or revised editions of the applicable documents to a "List of Changes" and submit them to the Certification Body.

(5) Succession during the application for approval of Evaluation Facility

In the case that the entire evaluation services relating to the Evaluation Facility candidate are transferred to another corporation, or in the case there is a merger with another corporation during the application process for approval of Evaluation Facility, the corporation after the

business transfer or merger is able to succeed the status of the Evaluation Facility candidate according to the following requirements.

- i.) The succeeding Evaluation Facility candidate shall consult with the Certification Body in advance in accordance with Section 4.1 “Preliminary Consultation on Becoming an Evaluation Facility.”
- ii.) The succeeding Evaluation Facility candidate shall submit a “Request for Changing Record / Withdrawal of Application Form for Approval of Evaluation Facility” and necessary attached documents (as prescribed in the “Guidance on Approval of Evaluation Facility (CCM-03-A)”) to the Certification Body.

The corporation which desires to succeed the status of the Evaluation Facility candidate under the provision of this paragraph shall undergo on-site assessment if requested by the Certification Body.

5. Requirements for Evaluator Candidates and Evaluators

The Certification Body applies the items described below in this Chapter as requirements for applications for approval of evaluator qualification with regard to either an evaluator candidate who applies for an evaluator qualification or an evaluator who applies for extending assurance components. In other words, the Certification Body will not approve an evaluator qualification in the case that the evaluator candidate or evaluator fails to fulfill these requirements.

An Evaluation Facility may apply to the Certification Body on behalf of a person who fulfills all items described below as an evaluator candidate.

Note that the requirements in this Chapter also apply in the case that the application for approval of evaluator qualification is not accompanied by an approval of Evaluation Facility.

5.1 Requirements for Applications for Approval of Evaluator Qualification

(1) Role of Evaluation Facility

An Evaluation Facility shall adequately confirm all items in the Requirements for Evaluation Facility and shall manage evaluator candidates and evaluators.

(2) Compliance with the Scheme Document and Requirements

The Evaluation Facility shall comply with the “Scheme Document (CCS-01),” the “Requirements for Certification (CCM-02),” and the Requirements for Evaluation Facility.

(3) Submission of documents required for an application for approval of evaluator qualification

The Evaluation Facility shall submit an “Application for Approval of Evaluator Qualification” and other necessary documents (the documents prescribed in the “Guidance on Approval of Evaluation Facility (CCM-03-A)”) to the Certification Body.

(4) Participation in a trial evaluation

The evaluator candidate shall practically participate in a trial evaluation within 30 days from the “confirmation date of the Evaluation Work Plan” described in the “Notification of Confirmation of Evaluation Work” issued by the Certification Body.

(5) Application fees

The Evaluation Facility that applies for approval of evaluator qualification shall pay the application fees prescribed by the Certification Body. Note that application fees, once paid, will not be refunded even if the application is withdrawn.

5.2 Requirements for Evaluator Candidates

(1) Education level

Any of the following conditions shall apply.

(A) An evaluator candidate has graduated education in science and technology at a technical college, junior college, vocational college, or equivalent to or higher educational institutions than those colleges.

(B) An evaluator candidate has three or more years of relevant work experience in the Information Technology field.

(2) Education and training

The evaluator candidate shall have completed an education and training program that the Evaluation Facility has constructed based on the Quality Manual and that has been confirmed by the Certification Body. The Evaluation Facility shall also confirm that the evaluator candidate has acquired the appropriate competence related to the latest technology and evaluation methodologies in the product area for which the evaluator candidate will be qualified.

In order to apply for approval of evaluator qualification, the Evaluation Facility shall submit a certificate for the completion of education and training program by the evaluator candidate (in any format) as an attached document to the Certification Body.

(3) Work experience

The evaluator candidate shall have four or more years of experience with the development of IT products or similar products in the information processing field, and the evaluator candidate shall have also been engaged in technical activities in information security or related fields for two or more years.

Note that in the case the evaluator candidate's relevant work experience in Section 5.2 (1) (B) is judged to satisfy the education level requirement, three years of the relevant work experience will not be calculated in the development experience of this paragraph.

(4) Personal attributes

The personal attributes prescribed in JIS Q 19011 are applied as the personal attributes of the evaluator candidate. The required attributes shall refer to a description including, but not limited to, the evaluator candidate being (a) ethical, (b) open-minded, (c) diplomatic, (d) observant, (e) perceptive, (f) versatile, (g) tenacious, (h) decisive, and (i) self-reliant.

(5) Type of employment

The evaluator candidate shall be a full-time employee. (Temporary/part-time employees are excluded.)

(6) Assurance of the impartiality and independence

The evaluator candidate is required to assure his/her impartiality and independence at all times without improper commercial, financial, or other pressures that may influence technical judgments from any department that develops a TOE (including any department applying for certification), and the evaluator candidate shall not perform any act that contravenes his/her impartiality and independence.

The evaluator candidate shall create the “Checklist for Impartiality and Independence of Evaluation (Evaluators)” with respect to the terms described below and shall take responsibility for all contents on the checklist.

- (A) The corporation to which the evaluator candidate belongs shall not be the same as the corporation to which the department that develops the TOE belongs.
- (B) The evaluator candidate shall not engage in the development of a TOE or assist in the creation of evaluation deliverables.
- (C) The evaluator candidate shall not have any relationship, current or past, with the corporation to which the department that develops the TOE belongs.

5.3 Requirements during the Application Process for an Evaluator Qualification

(1) Assessment of evaluator qualification

An evaluator candidate shall undergo an assessment via an interview in order to be approved as a qualified evaluator, either during the trial evaluation or upon completion of the trial evaluation.

In the case that the evaluator candidate has not undergone an assessment within six months after the completion of the trial evaluation, or in the case that there is no expectation that the assessment will be completed within one year, it will be assumed that the application for approval of evaluator qualification has been automatically withdrawn.

(2) Expenses for the assessments of evaluator qualification

The assessments of evaluator qualification, in principle, take place in Japan.

In the case that the assessment of evaluator qualification takes place on site which is 100 km or more away from the location of the Certification Body or overseas, the Evaluation Facility shall be responsible for the actual travel and accommodation expenses incurred by the Certification Body.

(3) Request for changing record or withdrawal of application forms for approval of evaluator qualification

The Evaluation Facility shall submit a “Request for Changing Record / Withdrawal of Application Form for Approval of Evaluator Qualification” to the Certification Body in the case of changing records or withdrawing a submitted form of “Application for Approval of Evaluator Qualification.”

6. Requirements for Evaluation Work after a Trial Evaluation and Approval of

Evaluation Facility

The Certification Body applies the items described below in this Chapter as requirements to Evaluation Facilities (or their candidates) and evaluators (or their candidates) for evaluation work after the trial evaluation and approval of Evaluation Facility.

The Certification Body will not approve an evaluator qualification in the case that the evaluator candidate fails to fulfill these requirements in the trial evaluation.

The Certification Body will not approve the Evaluation Facility candidate as an Evaluation Facility if it fails to fulfill these requirements in the trial evaluation.

The Certification Body will revoke the evaluator qualification in the case that the evaluator fails to fulfill these requirements in evaluations.

The Certification Body will revoke the approval of Evaluation Facility in the case that the Evaluation Facility fails to fulfill these requirements in evaluations.

6.1 Assumptions of Trial Evaluation

- (1) In the case that an additional evaluator candidate participates in a trial evaluation after a submission of an “Evaluation Work Plan,” the Evaluation Facility shall submit a revised version of the “Evaluation Work Plan” with the addition of the new evaluator candidate to the Certification Body within 30 days from the “confirmation date of the evaluation work plan” described in the “Notification of Confirmation of Evaluation Work.”

If the revised version of the “Evaluation Work Plan” is not submitted within 30 days, the application for approval of evaluator qualification for the additional evaluator candidate will not be accepted.

- (2) Only one trial evaluation accompanying the approval of an Evaluation Facility shall be conducted at a given time.

In other words, the Certification Body will not accept another application for approval of Evaluation Facility that invokes a new trial evaluation from the Evaluation Facility candidate already conducting a trial evaluation.

- (3) Only one trial evaluation accompanying the approval of evaluator qualification shall be conducted for one evaluator candidate.

In other words, the Certification Body will not accept another application for approval of evaluator qualification that invokes a new trial evaluation from the evaluator candidate who is already participating in a trial evaluation.

- (4) The Certification Body will accept a certification application of trial evaluation after accepting an application for approval of Evaluation Facility and/or an application for approval of evaluator qualification. If the certification application is not accepted, the Evaluation Facility candidate shall withdraw the application for approval of Evaluation Facility and/or the application for approval of evaluator qualification.

In the case that the application for approval of evaluator qualification is not accompanied by the application for approval of Evaluation Facility, and when the certification application is not

accepted, the Evaluation Facility shall withdraw the application for approval of evaluator qualification.

- (5) The Evaluation Facility candidate shall make a preliminary consultation with the Certification Body concerning the TOE to be used in the trial evaluation and shall be able to confirm the competence of the evaluator candidate with respect to the assurance components for which approval is being applied.
- (6) The evaluator candidate shall submit an "Evaluation Technical Report" to the Certification Body upon completion of the trial evaluation.

In the case that multiple evaluator candidates share a trial evaluation for the same TOE, the second and subsequent evaluator candidates shall create separate and independent Evaluation Technical Reports for assessment.

- (7) In the case that the certification applicant has taken procedures to withdraw the certification application of trial evaluation but the Evaluation Facility candidate has not submitted a "Request for Changing Record / Withdrawal of Application Form for Approval of Evaluation Facility," it will be assumed that the application for approval of Evaluation Facility has been withdrawn.
- (8) In the case that the purpose is either an approval of new Evaluation Facility candidate or an approval of evaluator qualification for an evaluator candidate and TOE certification is not required, the Evaluation Facility (or its candidate) itself becomes the certification applicant and applies for certification. In this case, no certification for the TOE will be granted.

6.2 Evaluation Work of Trial Evaluation or after Approval of Evaluation Facility

- (1) An Evaluation Facility shall begin evaluations after the "confirmation date of the evaluation work plan" described in the "Notification of Confirmation of Evaluation Work" issued by the Certification Body.
- (2) The Evaluation Facility and the evaluator shall assure the impartiality and independence of evaluations, pursuant to Section 6.3, with respect to the trial evaluation and evaluation work, and the Certification Body shall confirm the impartiality and independence of evaluations.
- (3) The Evaluation Facility shall obtain the consent of the Certification Body for the schedule described in the "Evaluation Work Plan" at the kick-off meeting or other occasion.
- (4) The Evaluation Facility shall regularly report on the state of progress of the evaluation work to the certification applicant and the Certification Body.
- (5) In the case that a delay of two weeks or longer is expected with respect to the planned completion date of any assurance class described in the evaluation schedule of the "Evaluation Work Plan," or in the case that new planned completion dates are set along with revisions to the "Evaluation Work Plan (draft)," the Evaluation Facility shall revise the "Evaluation Work Plan" and submit it to the Certification Body after obtaining the consent of the certification applicant.
- (6) In the case that a problem is detected during evaluation work, the Evaluation Facility shall promptly create an "Observation Report" and submit it to the Certification Body as well as

inform the certification applicant.

- (7) When conducting site visits to development, manufacturing and delivery sites, etc., the Evaluation Facility shall create a work plan that includes the planned date and time, the location, and the purpose of the site visits (such as the items to be confirmed, people who are targets for interviews, questions, and timetables) and obtain the confirmation from the Certification Body at least two weeks prior to the site visits.
- (8) When conducting evaluator independent testing, penetration testing, etc., the Evaluation Facility shall create a test plan that includes the planned date and time, the location, the testing environment, and the testing purpose (such as the items to be tested, the testing specifications, the testing procedures, the necessary tools, names and versions of software/hardware, etc., and the expected test results) and obtain the confirmation from the Certification Body at least two weeks prior to the start date of the evaluator independent testing, penetration testing, etc.
- (9) In the case that results obtained in the site visits and various tests are different from the expected results, the Evaluation Facility shall report the cause to the Certification Body.
- (10) The Evaluation Facility shall submit an "Evaluation Technical Report (draft)" to the Certification Body and the certification applicant when the evaluation is completed.
- (11) In the case that the certification applicant is not a developer of IT products, etc., the Evaluation Facility shall reach an appropriate decision on the delivery to the certification applicant of confidential information or information that requires care in handling.
- (12) In the case that the Evaluation Facility receives a "Certification Oversight Review" related to problems in the "Evaluation Technical Report (draft)" from the Certification Body, the Evaluation Facility shall promptly address the problems and respond in an "Observation Report."
- (13) In the case that the Certification Body requests a meeting to resolve problems indicated in a "Certification Oversight Review," the Evaluation Facility shall promptly respond to the request.
- (14) The Evaluation Facility shall promptly modify the "Evaluation Technical Report (draft)" if there are parts pointed out by the Certification Body.
- (15) The Evaluation Facility shall promptly submit an "Evaluation Technical Report" and an "Observation Report" to the Certification Body when the evaluation and certification are completed. In regard to the number of copies and submission media, the Evaluation Facility shall refer to the "Guidance on Approval of Evaluation Facility (CCM-03-A)".
- (16) In the case that, during individual evaluation work, it becomes difficult to proceed with the evaluation in regard to the assurance components in question because the evaluator terminated his/her evaluation services or the evaluator qualification was revoked, the Evaluation Facility shall promptly assign an alternate evaluator.
- (17) The Certification Body shall confirm that there are no major problems with the contents of the ST (for example, major security threats are not considered) in the TOE related to individual evaluation work.
- (18) In the case that multiple evaluators or evaluator candidates conduct a TOE evaluation, the

number of evaluator candidates shall not exceed the number of people that the qualified evaluators are able to oversee.

- (19) The Evaluation Facility shall decide on a leader for each evaluation based on the competence, experience, and evaluation achievements of evaluators on the evaluation team; specify the leader in the “Evaluation Work Plan;” comply with the provisions of the “Scheme Document (CCS-01),” the “Requirements for Certification (CCM-02),” the “Requirements for ST Confirmation (STM-01),” and the Requirements for Evaluation Facility; and take responsibility for the quality of the “Evaluation Technical Report.”

Note that the leader of the evaluation team shall be a qualified evaluator at a level equal to or above the assurance components of the evaluation.

In the case that the Certification Body determines that conducting a proper evaluation is difficult because there have been quality problems with the evaluation and the “Evaluation Technical Report” and multiple Certification Oversight Reviews have been issued, the Certification Body may revoke the evaluator qualifications of the evaluators in the evaluation team.

6.3 Assurance of the Impartiality and Independence of Evaluations

The Certification Body applies the following items described as requirements for Evaluation Facility candidates and Evaluation Facilities after the approval of Evaluation Facility to assure the impartiality and independence of individual evaluations conducted by Evaluation Facilities.

The Certification Body will revoke the approval of Evaluation Facility in the case that the Evaluation Facility fails to fulfill these requirements.

- (1) The Evaluation Facility is required to assure impartiality and independence at all times in its evaluation work and shall not perform any act that contravenes its impartiality and independence.
- (2) The Evaluation Facility shall not engage in activities that might damage its credibility with regard to the independence and sincerity of its decisions in evaluation work.
- (3) In addition to the provisions of Section 6.3 (1) and (2), the Evaluation Facility shall comply with Section 4.1.1, 4.1.2 and 4.1.3 in ISO/IEC 17025 with regard to the impartiality and independence of Evaluation Facilities.
- (4) The Evaluation Facility shall create a “Checklist for Impartiality and Independence of Evaluation (Evaluation Facility)” and a “Checklist for Impartiality and Independence of Evaluation (Evaluators)” as evidence that it fulfills the requirements described in Section 6.3 (1) through (3); submit these along with the “Evaluation Work Plan” to the certification applicant; and take responsibility for all contents on the documents. The Evaluation Facility shall also describe in detail the confirmation results of all confirmation items below that are described in the checklists.

The Certification Body cannot accept a certification application in the case that impartiality and independence cannot be assured. In the case that a falsification is detected in confirmation

results, the certification of the certified product and the approval of Evaluation Facility will be revoked.

(A) Independence of the Evaluation Facility

The corporation to which the Evaluation Facility belongs shall not be the same as the corporation to which the department that developed the TOE belongs.

(B) Independence of responsibilities and duties

The Evaluation Facility shall conduct its evaluation services independently from the department that developed the TOE, and the responsibilities and duties of both parties shall be mutually independent.

(C) Financial independence

The Evaluation Facility shall operate on a budget that is independent of the department that developed the TOE and shall have absolutely no financial relationships with the department that developed the TOE.

(D) Technical independence

The Evaluation Facility shall not provide assistance to the creation of evaluation deliverables related to the TOE.

(E) Organizational independence

The Evaluation Facility shall not share a manager having direct authority with any department that assists in the creation of evaluation deliverables related to the TOE within the corporation to which the Evaluation Facility belongs.

6.4 Criteria on Evaluator Candidates for an Evaluator Qualification

The assessment of the approval of evaluator qualification for an evaluator candidate is conducted through a trial evaluation, in accordance with the following criteria.

- (1) The evaluator candidate shall have expert knowledge of information technology, particularly operating systems and network protocols, and have expert knowledge of information security technologies and encryption technologies.
- (2) The evaluator candidate shall be conversant with the “Scheme Document (CCS-01),” the “Requirements for Certification (CCM-02),” and the Requirements for Evaluation Facility related to certification.
- (3) The evaluator candidate shall conduct a trial evaluation under the oversight of the Certification Body and shall undergo an assessment of the evaluation work status and the evaluation results.
- (4) As a result of the assessment described in Section 6.4 (3), the evaluator candidate shall be a person who is approved to conform to the following:

The evaluator candidate shall be conversant with the “CC/CEM” standards prescribed in Annex A of the “Scheme Document (CCS-01)” and have the following competence:

- (A) An ability to independently and sufficiently conduct evaluations for all work units included in the assurance components related to the trial evaluation
- (B) An ability to appropriately create Evaluation Work Plans

- (C) An ability to appropriately create Observation Reports
- (D) An ability to appropriately create Evaluation Technical Reports
- (5) The evaluator candidate shall be capable of achieving sufficient mutual understanding in both written and oral communication.
- (6) The impartiality and independence of the evaluations shall be assured.
- (7) The evaluator candidate shall have sufficient evaluation work experience with IT products, etc. In particular, the evaluator candidate shall be knowledgeable about the latest vulnerabilities and have experience with penetration testing.

7. Requirements to Maintain the Approval of Evaluation Facility

The Certification Body applies the following items as requirements for Evaluation Facilities to maintain the approval of Evaluation Facility.

In other words, the Certification Body will revoke the approval of an Evaluation Facility in the case that the Evaluation Facility fails to fulfill these requirements.

The condition to maintain the approval of Evaluation Facility is that the Evaluation Facility shall always have at least one qualified evaluator.

7.1 Requirements for Evaluation Facilities

In the case of a trial evaluation, a “Certificate of Approval of Evaluator Qualification” will be issued upon completion of the assessment of “Evaluation Technical Report.” The accreditation process will be conducted after submitting the “Certificate of Approval of Evaluator Qualification” to the Accreditation Body. The Evaluation Facility will be approved after submitting a copy of the “Certificate of Accreditation” issued by the Accreditation Body to the Certification Body.

(1) Approval of Evaluation Facility

A “Certificate of Approval of Evaluation Facility” will be issued for an Evaluation Facility that has been approved as an Evaluation Facility, and the information of the Evaluation Facility will be published in the List of Evaluation Facilities on the website.

The Evaluation Facility shall be responsible for managing the issued “Certificate of Approval of Evaluation Facility.”

The Evaluation Facility shall return the “Certificate of Approval of Evaluation Facility” to the Certification Body if any of the following cases apply to the Evaluation Facility.

- (A) When a reissuance request is made due to changes in records on the “Certificate of Approval of Evaluation Facility”
 - (B) When a Notification of Discontinuance of Approval of Evaluation Facility is submitted
 - (C) When approval of Evaluation Facility is revoked
- (2) The assurance components of TOEs related to evaluation work shall not exceed the scope of the assurance components for which the Evaluation Facility is approved.
 - (3) The assurance components of TOEs related to evaluation work shall not exceed the scope of the assurance components for which the evaluators on the team conducting the evaluation are

qualified.

(4) Surveillance of certified products

In the case that the Certification Body decides surveillance of a certified product is necessary, the Evaluation Facility shall undergo an assessment by the Certification Body and accommodate and cooperate with the assessment as necessary, such as disclosure of evaluation work records, based on the instructions of the Certification Body.

(5) Evaluation Technical Reports

Evaluation Technical Reports or sections of Evaluation Technical Reports shall be sufficiently technical in nature so that misunderstandings would not arise or their effectiveness would not be lost, even if they would be disclosed incidentally.

(6) Claims for damages from a certification applicant

In the case that the Evaluation Facility receives a claim for damages from a certification applicant because of a defect in a TOE evaluation, IPA shall accept no responsibility whatsoever with respect to the certification of the IT product, etc., except in cases of willful or gross negligence on the part of IPA.

(7) Claims for damages from a claimant other than a certification applicant

In the case that the Evaluation Facility receives a claim for damages from a user or another claimant other than a certification applicant because of a defect in a TOE evaluation, IPA shall accept no responsibility whatsoever.

(8) Participation in meetings

The Evaluation Facility shall participate in meetings held by the Certification Body in Japan and cooperate with the development and promotion of this Scheme. In principle, meetings shall be conducted in Japanese.

(9) Periodic assessments conducted by the Certification Body

Evaluation Facilities participating in this Scheme shall undergo periodic assessments by the Certification Body, as prescribed in Section 7.1 (9) (A) through (F), in order to confirm that evaluation services are being conducted in compliance with the Evaluation Facility's Quality Manual and that the evaluations conducted by the Evaluation Facility are maintained at a proper technical level.

However, when the Accreditation Body conducts periodic assessments, if the Certification Body attends that assessments and confirms the above described condition of the evaluation services of the Evaluation Facility, the assessments performed by the Certification Body will be omitted. The assessments are conducted for the purpose of fulfilling the Certification Body's obligation to oversee Evaluation Facilities under the Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement (CCRA) and ensuring evaluations at the Evaluation Facilities are maintained at a proper technical level.

(A) The Evaluation Facility shall undergo a periodic assessment conducted by the Certification Body at least once every two years after approval of Evaluation Facility.

(B) The Evaluation Facility shall prepare a Quality Manual, related documents and procedures, education and training programs, and other records.

- (C) All evaluators who belong to the Evaluation Facility shall fulfill the requirements of Section 6.4.
- (D) The Certification Body assesses Evaluation Facilities based on ISO/IEC 17025, the Requirements for Evaluation Facility, the standards prescribed in Annex A of the “Scheme Document (CCS-01),” and the supporting documents published by the Certification Body.
- (E) In the case that corrective actions are determined to be necessary as a result of the assessment conducted by the Certification Body, the Evaluation Facility shall implement the corrective actions within the time period specified by the Certification Body and submit a notification in writing that the corrective actions are completed.
- (F) In the case that the assessment is conducted on site which is 100 km or more away from the location of the Certification Body, the Evaluation Facility shall be responsible for the actual travel and accommodation expenses incurred in the on-site assessment.

7.2 Changes after Approval of Evaluation Facility

- (1) Extension of the scope of assurance components

To extend the scope of its assurance components, an Evaluation Facility shall take the procedures described in Chapter 4 and subsequent chapters.

- (2) Changes to registration records after approval

In the case that any of the following changes occur to the registration records, the Evaluation Facility shall submit a change request to the Accreditation Body and receive a copy of the “Certification of Accreditation” that reflects the changes. Then, the Evaluation Facility shall change the records in its approval of Evaluation Facility by submitting a “Request for Changing Record for Approval of Evaluation Facility,” the necessary documents (as prescribed in the “Guidance on Approval of Evaluation Facility (CCM-03-A)”), and a “Request for Reissuing Certificate of Approval of Evaluation Facility” to the Certification Body. In this case, the Evaluation Facility shall submit the documents to the Certification Body within 30 days, calculated from the date of receiving a copy of the “Certification of Accreditation” from the Accreditation Body.

- (A) When the scope of the assurance components approved for the Evaluation Facility has been narrowed due to an evaluator terminating evaluation services
- (B) When the address of the Evaluation Facility has changed (including relocations and addressing system changes)
- (C) When the name of the Evaluation Facility has changed
- (D) When evaluation services have been succeeded to another corporation (Refer to Section 7.3)

With the submission of the change request, the Certification Body will update its records and the List of Evaluation Facilities on its website.

The Evaluation Facility shall conclude a “Nondisclosure Agreement” again with the Certification Body when necessary because the Evaluation Facility’s name has changed or

evaluation services have been succeeded to another corporation.

In the cases described in Section 7.2 (2) (C) and (D), changes to the registration records of evaluators will be also made at the same time with the submission of the change request.

(3) Changes to the Quality Manual or documents related to education and training

In the case that changes occurred to the Quality Manual or documents related to education and training, the Evaluation Facility shall attach the documents that have been changed to a “Request for Changing Record for Approval of Evaluation Facility” and submit the documents to the Certification Body within 30 days, calculated from the date the change has been made.

When changes occur to education and training programs, new applications for approval of evaluator qualification cannot be accepted until the Certification Body has confirmed the details of the new education and training programs.

(4) Changes to the List of Evaluation Facilities

In the case that a change is needed to contact information (name, e-mail address, telephone number, fax number, and URL) in the List of Evaluation Facilities published on the website of the Certification Body, the Evaluation Facility shall promptly submit a “Request for Changing Record for Approval of Evaluation Facility” to the Certification Body.

7.3 Succession of an Evaluation Facility

(1) In the case that the entire evaluation services relating to an Evaluation Facility are transferred to another corporation, or in the case there is a merger with another corporation, the corporation after the business transfer or merger is able to succeed the status of the Evaluation Facility according to the following requirements.

i.) The succeeding Evaluation Facility shall consult with the Certification Body in advance in accordance with Section 4.1 “Preliminary Consultation on Becoming an Evaluation Facility (excluding 4.1 (1) (B)).”

ii.) The succeeding Evaluation Facility shall submit a “Request for Changing Record for Approval of Evaluation Facility,” the necessary attached documents (as prescribed in the “Guidance on Approval of Evaluation Facility (CCM-03-A)”), and a “Request for Reissuing Certificate of Approval of Evaluation Facility” to the Certification Body.

iii.) The succeeding Evaluation Facility receives a “Certificate of Approval of Evaluation Facility” reissued by the Certification Body.

(2) The corporation that desires to succeed the status of the Evaluation Facility pursuant to Section 7.3 (1) shall undergo on-site assessment if requested by the Certification Body.

In the case that the location is 100 km or more away from the Certification Body, the succeeding Evaluation Facility shall be responsible for the actual travel and accommodation expenses incurred in the on-site assessment in accordance with Section 7.3 (1).

7.4 Request for Reissuing a Certificate of Approval of Evaluation Facility

(1) An Evaluation Facility shall request the reissuance of its “Certificate of Approval of Evaluation

Facility” in the case that changes in records on the “Certificate of Approval of Evaluation Facility” have occurred pursuant to Section 7.1 (1) or that the certificate has been lost. In such cases, the Evaluation Facility shall submit a “Request for Reissuing Certificate of Approval of Evaluation Facility” to the Certification Body.

Except when the certificate has been lost, the Evaluation Facility shall return the old “Certificate of Approval of Evaluation Facility” to the Certification Body.

- (2) The Evaluation Facility shall pay the application fees prescribed by the Certification Body.

7.5 Notification of Discontinuance of an Evaluation Facility

- (1) In any of the following cases apply to an Evaluation Facility, the Evaluation Facility shall submit a “Notification of Discontinuance of Approval of Evaluation Facility” to the Certification Body within 30 days, calculated from the date the discontinuance occurred, and shall not conduct any further evaluation services.

(A) When the Accreditation Body has revoked the accreditation of the Evaluation Facility

(B) When no qualified evaluator belongs to the Evaluation Facility

- (2) In the case that the Evaluation Facility discontinues its evaluation services due to unavoidable circumstances at the Evaluation Facility, the Evaluation Facility shall submit a “Notification of Discontinuance of Approval of Evaluation Facility” to the Certification Body at least three months prior to the discontinuance.
- (3) With the submission of the discontinuance notification, the Certification Body will revoke the approval of Evaluation Facility and publish the fact of the discontinuance in the List of Evaluation Facilities on its website.
- (4) An Evaluation Facility that submits a “Notification of Discontinuance of Approval of Evaluation Facility” shall immediately report to the Certification Body any current evaluations in progress and the status of the evaluations.
- (5) An Evaluation Facility that submits a “Notification of Discontinuance of Approval of Evaluation Facility” shall immediately inform certification applicants whose evaluations are in progress of its discontinuance as an Evaluation Facility.

7.6 Suspension or Revocation of Approval of Evaluation Facility

In the case that an Evaluation Facility does not comply with the “Scheme Document (CCS-01),” the “Requirements for Certification (CCM-02),” or the Requirements for Evaluation Facility, the approval of Evaluation Facility will be suspended or revoked.

In the case that the approval of Evaluation Facility has been suspended or revoked, the Certification Body will publish the fact in the List of Evaluation Facilities on its website.

- (1) Recommendation to improve operations

In the case that any of the following conditions apply to an Evaluation Facility, the Certification Body will send the Evaluation Facility a recommendation in writing to improve operations within a time period specified by the Certification Body.

- (A) When the Evaluation Facility or one of its evaluators failed to submit necessary documents for a procedure within the specified time period
- (B) When the Evaluation Facility does not follow the Requirements for Evaluation Facility
- (C) When an evaluator at the Evaluation Facility does not follow the Requirements for Evaluation Facility

(2) Suspension

In the case that the Evaluation Facility does not provide appropriate improvement policies or does not implement improvement policies within the time period specified by the Certification Body despite the conditions described in Section 7.6 (1) applying to an Evaluation Facility, the Certification Body will suspend the approval of Evaluation Facility.

While suspended, the Evaluation Facility is unable to accept new evaluation requests or conduct evaluation services for such requests.

When the Evaluation Facility provides improvement policies or implements improvement policies and the Certification Body determines the improvements to be appropriate, the Certification Body will release the suspension.

(3) Re-recommendation to improve operations

Regarding Section 7.6 (2), in the case that the Evaluation Facility, once suspended, does not provide improvement policies, the Certification Body will specify a time period and send the Evaluation Facility another recommendation in writing to improve operations related to the suspension.

(4) Revocation

Regarding Section 7.6 (3), in the case that the Evaluation Facility does not provide appropriate improvement policies or does not implement improvement policies within the time period specified by the Certification Body, the Certification Body will revoke the approval of Evaluation Facility.

The Evaluation Facility shall not conduct evaluation work on the earlier of the date on which the Accreditation Body revoked its accreditation or the date on which the Certification Body revoked its approval.

7.7 Publication on the List of Evaluation Facilities

In the case that any of the following conditions applies to an Evaluation Facility, the Certification Body will publish the fact on the List of Evaluation Facilities on the website of the Certification Body.

- (1) When the Certification Body has approved the Evaluation Facility as an Evaluation Facility (Refer to Section 7.1)
- (2) When a change has occurred to the records of an approved Evaluation Facility (Refer to Section 7.2 (2))
- (3) When a change has occurred with regard to information published on the List of Evaluation Facilities (Refer to Section 7.2 (4))

- (4) When evaluation services have been succeeded to another corporation (Refer to Section 7.3)
- (5) When the Evaluation Facility has discontinued its evaluation services (Refer to Section 7.5)
- (6) When the Evaluation Facility has had its approval of Evaluation Facility suspended or revoked by the Certification Body (Refer to Section 7.6)

8. Requirements to Maintain an Evaluator Qualification

The Certification Body applies the following items as requirements for evaluators to maintain their evaluator qualification.

In other words, the Certification Body will revoke the evaluator qualification and deregister an evaluator in the case that the evaluator fails to fulfill these requirements.

8.1 Requirements for Evaluators

In the case that an evaluator candidate has been qualified as an evaluator, the Certification Body will register the evaluator candidate as a qualified evaluator and issue a “Certificate of Approval of Evaluator Qualification.”

Note that it is not necessary for the evaluator to return the “Certificate of Approval of Evaluator Qualification.”

(1) Compliance with the Scheme Document and Requirements

The evaluator shall comply with the “Scheme Document (CCS-01),” the “Requirements for Certification (CCM-02),” and the Requirements for Evaluation Facility.

(2) Employment at Evaluation Facility

The evaluator cannot be registered with the Certification Body as an evaluator at multiple Evaluation Facilities at the same time.

(3) Impartiality and independence of evaluations

The evaluator shall take responsibility for all contents on the “Checklist for Impartiality and Independence of Evaluation.”

(4) Duty to undergo education and training

(A) The evaluator shall regularly and systematically undergo appropriate education and training on the latest technology and evaluation methodologies in the product area for which the evaluator has been qualified.

(B) The evaluator shall undergo at least 20 hours every two years of education and training related to knowledge on the latest vulnerabilities, penetration testing, and other knowledge necessary for the work of evaluating IT products, etc.

8.2 Notification of Changes to Evaluator Registration Records

- (1) In the case that an evaluator changes his/her name or e-mail address, the Evaluation Facility shall submit a “Request for Changing Registration Record for Evaluator Qualification” to the Certification Body within 30 days, calculated from the date the change has been made. With the submission of the change request, the Certification Body will change the registration records of

the evaluator.

- (2) In the case that the scope of the assurance components for which the evaluator is qualified has extended, the evaluator shall follow the procedure described in Section 5.1.

8.3 Request for Reissuing a Certificate of Evaluator Qualification

In the case that an Evaluation Facility requests the reissuance of a “Certificate of Approval of Evaluator Qualification,” the Evaluation Facility shall submit a “Request for Reissuing Certificate of Evaluator Qualification” to the Certification Body. In the case that the Evaluation Facility requests the reissuance, the Evaluation Facility shall pay the reissuance fees prescribed by the Certification Body.

8.4 Application to Maintain an Evaluator Qualification

The Evaluation Facility shall manage the status of evaluation services conducted by all evaluators who belong to the Evaluation Facility.

Evaluators are able to maintain their evaluator qualifications for the next year starting on April 1st each year.

- (1) As of April 1st each year, the Certification Body examines whether all evaluators who belong to each Evaluation Facility (including evaluators who have terminated evaluation services since April 1st) had engaged in TOE evaluations during the previous fiscal year and informs the Evaluation Facility of the results.
- (2) After receiving the notification, the Evaluation Facility shall report the status of evaluation services conducted by evaluators to the Certification Body within the time period specified by the Certification Body.
- (3) The Evaluation Facility is informed of the results related to the maintenance of the evaluator qualification, based on the report on the status of evaluation services conducted by evaluators.
- (4) In the case that the maintenance of the evaluator qualification is approved, the Evaluation Facility shall submit an “Application for Maintaining Evaluator Qualification” to the Certification Body within 30 days of receiving the notification and shall pay the application fees prescribed by the Certification Body.
- (5) In the case that the Evaluation Facility fails to submit an “Application for Maintaining Evaluator Qualification” within the specified time period, or in the case that the Certification Body does not approve the maintenance of the evaluator qualification, the evaluator qualification will be revoked.

8.5 Notification of Termination of Evaluation Services

In the case that an evaluator terminates his/her evaluation services, the Evaluation Facility shall describe the “effective date of termination of evaluation services” described in a “Notification of Termination of Evaluation Services as an Evaluator” and submit it to the Certification Body within 30 days of the date the evaluator terminates evaluation services.

On submission of the “Notification of Termination of Evaluation Services as an Evaluator,” the Certification Body will revoke the evaluator qualification.

8.6 Notification of Reinstatement of an Evaluator

(1) Conditions on reinstatement

An evaluator who previously terminated evaluation services may be reinstated as a qualified evaluator at the same Evaluation Facility in the case that all the following conditions are fulfilled. The scope of the qualified assurance components, however, is limited to the scope of the assurance components for which the Evaluation Facility is approved.

- (A) The date on which the Certification Body receives the “Notification of Reinstatement of Evaluation Services as an Evaluator” shall be within one year from the “effective date of termination of evaluation services” described in the “Notification of Termination of Evaluation Services as an Evaluator” previously submitted to the Certification Body.
- (B) The evaluator shall maintain appropriate competence related to the latest technology and evaluation methodologies in the product area for which the evaluator was qualified.
- (C) The Evaluation Facility has confirmed the condition described in Section 8.6 (1) (B) and submits a document indicating that the Certification Body has confirmed the evaluator’s competence.

(2) Submission of a “Notification of Reinstatement of Evaluation Services as an Evaluator”

In the case that the evaluator fulfills the conditions described in Section 8.6 (1), the Evaluation Facility shall attach the necessary documents (as prescribed in the “Guidance on Approval of Evaluation Facility (CCM-03-A)”) to the “Notification of Reinstatement of Evaluation Services as an Evaluator” and submit them to the Certification Body.

(3) Confirmation of the application to maintain the evaluator qualification

In the case that the Evaluation Facility has not applied for maintaining the reinstated evaluator qualification for the current year, the Evaluation Facility shall submit an “Application for Maintaining Evaluator Qualification” to the Certification Body pursuant to Section 8.4 and pay the application fees.

8.7 Revocation of an Evaluator Qualification

The Certification Body will revoke the evaluator qualification of a qualified evaluator who meets any of the following cases:

- (1) When the evaluator does not comply with the “Scheme Document (CCS-01),” the “Requirements for Certification (CCM-02),” or the Requirements for Evaluation Facility
- (2) When the Certification Body does not approve the maintenance of the evaluator qualification as a result of the assessment of the maintenance of the evaluator qualification
- (3) When the Evaluation Facility failed to submit an application to maintain evaluator qualification within the time period specified by the Certification Body
- (4) When the evaluator terminated evaluation services

- (5) When the Certification Body judged that the evaluator does not comply with the requirements described in Section 6.4 through oversight of the evaluation services
- (6) When the Evaluation Facility submitted a notification of discontinuance

Supplementary provisions (April 12, 2012 Jo-So No. 165 of 2011)

The Requirements for Evaluation Facility shall come into effect as of March 29, 2012.

Supplementary provisions (March 25, 2013 Jo-So No. 114 of 2012, Partial revision)

(Date of enforcement)

- 1 The Requirements for Evaluation Facility shall come into effect as of April 1, 2013.
(Transitional measures related to the employment of an evaluator at another Evaluation Facility)
- 2 For the period ending on March 31, 2014, in the case that an approved Evaluation Facility employs an evaluator who has terminated evaluation services at another Evaluation Facility, the Evaluation Facility may apply to confirm his/her evaluator qualification by submitting an “Application for Confirmation of Evaluator Qualification” with necessary documents attached, provided that the submission is made within one year from the effective date of termination of evaluation services on the “Notification of Termination of Evaluation Services as an Evaluator,” and provided that the evaluator has completed the specified education and training programs prescribed in the Evaluation Facility’s Quality Manual and the Evaluation Facility has confirmed the evaluator’s competence.

Supplementary provisions (May 28, 2015 Jo-So No. 54 of 2015, Partial revision)

The Requirements for Evaluation Facility shall come into effect as of June 1, 2015.

Supplementary provisions (September 17, 2015 Jo-So No. 97 of 2015, Partial revision)

The Requirements for Evaluation Facility shall come into effect as of October 1, 2015.

Supplementary provisions (June 29, 2018 Jo-So No. 179 of 2018, Partial revision)

The Requirements for Evaluation Facility shall come into effect as of July 1, 2018.

Supplementary provisions (September 4, 2018 Jo-So No. 264 of 2018, Partial revision)

The Requirements for Evaluation Facility shall come into effect as of September 10, 2018.

Supplementary provisions (September 24, 2020 Jo-So No. 1096 of 2020 Partial revision)

The Requirements for Evaluation Facility shall come into effect as of October 15, 2020.