CCM-03-A Form 2

Written Oath of Compliance Rules concerning the Approval of Evaluation Facility

Date :\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

To Commissioner SAITO Yutaka

Information-technology Promotion Agency, Japan

Name of the Applicant :\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Address :\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Person responsible for the application :

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ (*Signature*)

Title/Department :\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

The Evaluation Facility stated above pledges to comply with the following matters with respect to the application for approval of Evaluation Facility under the Japan IT Security Evaluation and Certification Scheme in accordance with the “Requirements for Approval of IT Security Evaluation Facility (CCM-03).” The Evaluation Facility also pledges to continue to comply with these matters after obtaining approval of Evaluation Facility.

1. The Evaluation Facility shall comply with the “IT Security Evaluation and Certification Scheme Document (CCS-01)” and the “Requirements for Approval of IT Security Evaluation Facility (CCM-03)” prescribed by the Information-technology Promotion Agency, Japan (hereinafter referred to as “IPA”).
2. In the case that the approval of Evaluation Facility is revoked, the Evaluation Facility shall promptly return the Certificate of Approval of Evaluation Facility to the Certification Body.
3. Evaluation Technical Reports or sections of Evaluation Technical Reports shall be sufficiently technical in nature so that misunderstandings would not arise or their effectiveness would not be lost, even if they would be disclosed incidentally.
4. The Evaluation Facility candidate conducts evaluation work under the oversight of the Certification Body. The Evaluation Facility candidate shall accept on-site assessment when requested by the Certification Body related to the trial evaluation in progress and shall make necessary accommodations to respond to Certification Body instructions, such as disclosing evaluation work records.
5. In the case that the Certification Body changes the “Requirements for Approval of IT Security Evaluation Facility (CCM-03),” the Evaluation Facility shall complete necessary measures in an appropriate time period, such as making necessary changes to procedures, to comply with the new requirements and shall submit a “Request for Changing Record for Approval of Evaluation Facility” to the Certification Body.
6. In the case that the Evaluation Facility receives a claim for damages from a certification applicant because of a defect in the evaluation of an IT product/system, IPA shall accept no responsibility whatsoever with respect to the certification of the IT product/system except in cases of willful or gross negligence on the part of IPA.
7. In the case that the Evaluation Facility receives a claim for damages from a user or another claimant other than a certification applicant because of a defect in the evaluation of an IT product/system, IPA shall accept no responsibility whatsoever.